The Educational RISC-V Microprocessor Wildcat

Martin Schoeberl Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science Technical University of Denmark Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark Email: masca@dtu.dk

Abstract—Today, in teaching computer architecture, we use the RISC-V instruction set to explain the basics of a microprocessor. Initially, we introduce a conceptual single-cycle implementation of a RISC-V microprocessor, followed by a detailed presentation of a pipelined implementation of RISC-V. We can support this topic in teaching with executable implementations of RISC-V.

This paper presents three implementations of the RISC-V instruction set architecture: (1) an instruction set simulator to explore the RISC-V instructions set, (2) a single-cycle implementation as a first approach to a hardware implementation, and (3) a pipelined implementation of RISC-V. We named this processor Wildcat after a nice hiking area close to where RISC-V instruction set was developed, at the University of California, Berkeley. Wildcat is available under the BSD 2-Clause License at https://github.com/schoeberl/wildcat

Index Terms—Computer architecture education, RISC-V, opensource

I. INTRODUCTION

Andrew Waterman defined the RISC-V instruction set architecture (ISA) in his PhD thesis [13] at the University of California, Berkeley (UCB), supervised by Krste Asanovic and Dave Patterson. Waterman distilled the core principles of a RISC ISA drawn from three decades of RISC architectures, including MIPS, SPARC, and Alpha. An essential aspect of the RISC-V ISA is that it is available in open source. Therefore, most computer architecture teaching has switched to RISC-V in recent years. RISC-V is an ISA definition; it does not define an implementation. The "V" stands for the fifth RISC project at UCB and indicates that vector instructions are a part of the standard.

This paper presents Wildcat, a family of RISC-V processor implementations. The project is called Wildcat, as I run through the Wildcat canyon after listening to Andrew's PhD defense and before starting to code the RISC-V ISA simulator.

We present an instruction set simulator to enable students to familiarize themself with the RISC-V instruction set architecture (ISA) and concrete the quite small set of integer instructions (RV32I). To teach the pipelining of a microprocessor, we present a 3-stage RISC-V pipeline consisting of instruction fetch (IF), instruction decode (ID), and instruction execution (EX). ID includes register read, and EX includes memory access (load and store). Although many textbooks present a 5-stages pipeline, we think that a 3-stages pipeline is a better and more accessible approach to teaching pipelining: (1) the principles can be shown in three stages; (2) the design requires forwarding, but only from one stage; (3) it avoids the load-use hazard and the need for detection of that hazard and the resulting stalling. While single cycle and 2-stages cannot be practically implemented, a 3-stage pipeline can be implemented in an FPGA (or ASIC).

The Wildcat project aims to provide educational examples of a RISC-V simulator and a pipeline implementation. Therefore, the code prioritizes readability and avoids performance or size optimizations that could introduce unnecessary complexity.

For this design, we use Chisel [2], [10], a hardware construction language embedded in Scala. Chisel is a more modern language than VHDL or Verilog, resulting in less distraction from archaic syntax and leading to better readable code. From our experiences in teaching digital electronics in VHDL and later in Chisel, we see that students with a programming background in Java get more productive in Chisel than in VHDL. Furthermore, editor support for Chisel (as it is practically Scala), is better than for VHDL or Verilog.

II. A SIMULATOR

It makes sense to write a simulator for that hardware before designing any non-trivial hardware, and a pipelined processor is non-trivial. The simulation does not need to be cycle-accurate. In our computer architecture course, students develop as a final project a RISC-V ISA simulator. Writing a simulator is a good preparation for an elective course of implementing a RISC-V processor in an FPGA.

When I attended Andrew Waterman's PhD defense, I decided to learn more about RISC-V and to code an instruction set simulator. I had already switched all my hardware activities to Chisel, so I wrote the simulator in Scala. This has two benefits: (1) we can co-simulate the hardware design and the ISA simulator in the same Java virtual machine; and (2) we can share constants between the simulator and the hardware. The ISA simulator is around 300 lines of readable code.

Another option for a working processor pipeline is a singlecycle implementation. As textbooks often start with a singlecycle implementation, we also implemented a base version of RISC-V in Chisel as a single-cycle circuit. The instruction memory is implemented as ROM, loading the program at simulation or hardware generation time. We use asynchronous RAM (a Mem in Chisel) for the data memory. Due to the use of asynchronous memory, this design is not useful for hardware implementation, but it can serve as an ISA simulator closer to an actual implementation. As we aim for reusable code, we have put common functionality, such as instruction decoding, immediate generation, and the ALU code, into functions (in the original 3-stage pipeline). Due to this reuse, the single-cycle implementation is just around 65 lines of code.

III. A SIMPLE PIPELINE

Figure 1 shows the simple 3-stages pipeline of Wildcat. Instruction memory (IM), the register file (RF), and the data memory (DM) are implemented in synchronous RAM. Therefore, the input registers of those three memories are part of the pipeline registers.

The first stage (IF) fetches the instruction from the instruction memory. The instruction is stored in the instruction register (IR). However, the two 5-bit register addresses are fed directly from the output of the instruction memory into the (registered) input of the RF.

The second stage (ID) decodes the instruction, generates the immediate field, and selects between the register value and the immediate value. Furthermore, that stage computes the address for the memory access, by adding the immediate to the address from the register.

The third stage (EX) performs either an ALU or memory operation. The multiplexer at the output of EX selects whether an ALU result or a memory load will be written into the RF. The output of the EX stage is also registered in the ID/EX pipeline register to forward either the ALU result or the load result. Note that we need only one forwarding path for the ALU. Furthermore, as the memory access is in the same stage as the ALU operation, there is no load-use hazard and no need to stall the pipeline, simplifying the understanding of a pipelined processor implementation. The output of the EX stage is also forwarded to the memory input.

The three-stage pipeline is simple to explain, simple to implement, and surprisingly efficient. As longer pipelines need more forwarding paths into the ALU, they also need larger multiplexers in front of the ALU input. At least in FPGAs, those multiplexers and the needed wires introduce a longer critical path than in the 3-stage pipeline, resulting in a slightly lower maximum clock frequency.

We are not arguing against longer pipelines in general. However, for teaching pipelined processor design, a threestage pipeline is sufficient. This organization can also be implemented in an FPGA. The repository also contains 4and 5-stage implementations for comparison.

IV. TESTING

Testing (sometimes called verification) of hardware designs is at least as important as testing of software projects. Testing a processor is more straightforward than a hardware design requiring test vectors to drive a simulation. A processor can simply execute test programs.

The RISC-V test suit¹ contains an extensive list of assembler programs. To run those tests, a considerable amount of instructions already need to be correctly implemented.

However, when developing a simulator or a processor pipeline,, one would like to run tests even at a very early stage.

TABLE I WILDCAT RESULTS IN THREE DIFFERENT TECHNOLOGIES

Wildcat	fmax (MHz)	LC	Register	RAM bits
Altera/Intel Cyclon IV AMD Artix 7	86.2 MHz 112.3 MHz	1,756 1,270	379 303	2,048 0
SkyWater130	81.2 MHz	429 x 432 μmm ²		

Therefore, we provide very simple assembler programs for debugging and testing for our computer architecture lab.² That repository also contains the expected output of the register file after termination of the simulation for each example program.

A. Self-contained Tests

Self-contained tests end with a well-known outcome, e.g., one register shall contain a known number. In the standard RISC-V tests, a passed test ends with 0 in register x28, after executing an ecall instruction. Our simulator and the hardware implementation include tests that execute the RISC-V tests for the RV32I specification.

B. Co-simulation

Another option for testing a processor is co-simulation. Again, co-simulation is relatively straightforward, as the only state that needs to be compared is the content of the register file. Any error in the pipeline or the memory subsystem will, at some point, emerge in the register file.

We can execute co-simulation and compare results at every instruction or, similar to the simple tests, compare the content of the register file at the end of the test execution. We implemented the comparison after exiting the simulator and the hardware simulation. If discrepancies exist between those two simulations, a trace file for the register contents can be generated to find the spot where the results diverged.

V. EVALUATION

Although the main focus of Wildcat on being an educational RISC-V implementation, we still want to be able to implement Wildcat in an FPGA or ASIC.

A. Reading Complexity

For the implementations of the different versions of Wildcat, we aimed at sharing as much code as possible without obfuscating the code. We defined all constants in Scala land to be used in the simulator and with type conversion in the Chisel hardware implantation.

We are also able to share a considerable amount of Chisel code implemented in functions, between the versions of Wildcat: from the single-cycle version up to a 5-stage implementation.

B. Synthesis Results

We evaluate the 3-stage pipeline of Wildcat in two FPGA families and for an ASIC using the open-source SkyWater130 PDK (130 nm process node) and the open-source OpenLane 2

¹https://github.com/riscv-software-src/riscv-tests

²https://github.com/schoeberl/risc-v-lab



Fig. 1. The 3-stage Wildcat processor pipeline (simplified, omitting control and decoded signals).

design flow. As we do not (yet) have memory compilers available in open-source for the SkyWater130 process, we synthesize the pipeline alone and leave out the instruction and data memory. Those memories are connected with memory buses. For the evaluation within an FPGA, we use a preloaded instructions scratchpad memory and a data scratchpad memory.

For the FPGA results, we use synchronous on-chip memory (BRAM) for the RF. In the ASIC design, we implement the RF in discrete flip-flops.

The two FPGAs are: (1) a bit date Cyclone IV FPGA (from former Altera, then Intel, now Altera again) and (2) the Artix 7 (from former Xilinx, now AMD). A logic cell (LC) in the Cyclone IV contains a 4-bit lookup table (LUT) and a flip-flop. The Artix 7 is a more recent FPGA using 6-bit LUTs. For each LUT, the Artix includes two flip-flops. We constrain both designs to an unreachable 200 MHz to push the synthesis tools to optimize for maximum clock frequency.

For the ASIC flow, we use OpenLane2 and let the tool decide on the area needed. We report that area in the table. The ASIC flow with OpenLane will not finish when the timing constraint is not met. Therefore, we set it to a frequency of 50 MHz.

We report the maximum clock frequency for the slowest timing voltage/temperature point. We report the ASIC size from the report after floor planning (in Report 12 floorplan). For the ASIC, we can find the slack after placement and routing in report 51 (stapostpnr).

Table I shows the synthesis results with maximum clock frequency and resource usage in LCs, register, and on-chip RAM bits. For the Cyclone IV, we see the expected usage of 2048 RAM bits for the register file. One set of 32x32 bits uses 1024 bits, and to support two read ports, we need two memories. The Artix FPGA can use LUTs for small memories, and Vivado decided not to use BRAM resources. Therefore, we do not see any RAM bits used for the Artix.

For ASIC flow, we report the size of the chip area. To set the area of 0.18 mm2 in context: one can get a 10 mm2 chip on a multi-project waver from eFabless for \$10.000.

As we assume that the flip-flop-based RF needs the most amount of chip area, we explored the synthesis of the RF alone, resulting in an area of around 320 x 320 μ mm². Therefore, for this simple 3-stage pipeline, the RF dominates the resource usage as it needs about 55 % of the processor area. We tried to use a latch-based RF design, but without any further tweaks in the OpenLane2 design flow, yosys stopped with more than 900 errors. To improve this situation, we need an open-source memory compiler. We are aware of the OpenRAM [5] project but have not been able to generate memory from that project.

If we can scale down the area of the RF, we plan to submit Wildcat to the next Tiny Tapeout [12] shuttle. We also plan to use Tiny Tapeout in our new chip design course. Therefore, the experience of getting a RISC-V pipeline into a reasonable number of Tiny Tapeout tiles is a preparation for this course.

Out of curiosity, we also synthesized the single-cycle implementation of Wildcat with a blinking LEDs program into the Artix FPGA. Most of the hardware was optimized away, and the maximum clock frequency reported was around 11 MHz. Nevertheless, we configured the FPGA, and the LEDs were blinking.

C. Source Access

Wildcat is available in open source on GitHub: https://github. com/schoeberl/wildcat. All tests can be run with:

make test

Several other Makefile targets are available to generate Verilog code and to synthesize with Vivado, Quartus, or OpenLane.

VI. FUTURE WORK AND TEACHING

I have taught computer architecture for more than 15 years following the classic textbooks [8], [7]. When teaching the pipeline of a RISC processor, I used, without questioning, the classic 5-stages organization. With my recent work on Wildcat, I will reconsider which organization I will present to initially. In future teaching,, I will present a 3-stages pipeline to explain the principles. I will use the implementation of Wildcat to illustrate that this is a reasonable and valid organization. Later, we can discuss other organizations, e.g., the classic 5-stages pipeline or longer organizations.

For the computer architecture course at DTU, we teach the students RISC-V assembler programming, and they have to implement as the final project a RISC-V ISA simulator. For this lab, we have prepared material, such as small test programs in open-source on GitHub: https://github.com/schoeberl/cae-lab. We have used those simple test programs also with our Wildcat implementations.

As a followup to the computer architecture course, we have established a new course on designing and implementing a RISC-V pipeline in an FPGA. Again, all material is open-source on GitHub: https://github.com/schoeberl/risc-v-lab. This repository contains further tests, some from the Ripes project [9] and simplified versions of the RISC-V tests. In this three-week course, students shall implement a RISC-V from scratch in an FPGA. To provide an example, we can use Wildcat and the design of a 3-stage pipeline.

I am teaching Digital Electronics 2 for second-semester students. There we use Chisel [2] as the hardware description language. In that course I use the Chisel book [10].³ The final chapter describes the design of a simple processor called Leros [11]. The Leros implementation is a simple state machine with a datapath. We plan to add another chapter on implementing a pipelined RISC-V processor, and Wildcat will be an example of that implementation. However, both processors are not the topic of the second-semester introduction course, but make good ending chapters in a book on digital design.

Altogether, we are moving our digital design education to use open-source IPs and open-source tools, such as OpenLane. Furthermore, we are developing a new chip design course where we have planned to provide all teaching material in open-source at: https://github.com/os-chip-design/chip-design-intro

VII. RELATED WORK

One of the first hardware implementation of a RISC-V processor was Rocket [1]. Rocket is implemented in Chisel and represents a 5-stage in-order scalar pipeline. For teaching purposes, a group of PhD students developed the Sodor family of RISC-V processors. Sodor⁴ is available as single-stage, 2-stage, 3-stage, up to a classic 5-stage version. Sodor is written in Chisel. However, some of the code is quite advanced Scala code, which is not an easy read for beginners of computer architecture and Chisel. Furthermore, the Sodor project is no longer self-contained. It needs the Chipyard SoC generator that has an elaborate setup. In contrast to Sodor, Wildcat is available in standalone, and we have put effort into producing readable code.

YARVI (Yet Another RISC-V Implementation) ⁵ by Tommy Thorn was probably the first RISC-V implementation that could be synthesized into an FPGA (originally released in 2014). The current version of YARI, called YARVI2, is an 8-stage pipeline with an effort on branch prediction. In future work, we will take inspiration from YARVI to extend Wildcat to longer pipelines and add branch prediction. The main challenge in those extensions is to reuse code without duplication, and without disturbing readability.

PULPino [4] is a 32-bit RISC-V microcontroller system developed at ETH Zurich. It is written in SystemVerilog in a conservative style (e.g., not using structures but individual signals.) We appreciate that project but consider it too complex for education or research.

Ibex [3]⁶ is a two-stage pipeline with an additional clock cycle for memory access. Therefore, similar in design to our Wildcat project. The pipeline can be extended with a write-back stage.

PicoRV32⁷ is a RISC-V implementation optimized for small size and a high clocking frequency but not for execution speed. The implementation is sequential, with instructions executing between 3 and 14 clock cycles. The single Verilog file picorv32.v is about 3000 lines of Verilog code, which is not an easy reading. We may add a sequential version of Wildcat to our family of processors if this is still an interesting design point (we found one textbook containing a sequential design of a RISC-V microprocessor [6]).

Morten, a former student at DTU, developed Ripes [9], a graphical simulator for different configurations of a RISC-V pipeline. We use Ripes to educate students in computer architecture.

There exist many RISC-V implementations both in opensource and commercial closed-source. Exploring all the opensource designs would probably be an educational exercise, resulting in a lengthy survey paper.

VIII. CONCLUSION

For teaching computer architecture and digital design, good readable reference implementations of RISC-V are a helpful tool. We have implemented several versions of RISC-V: an instruction set simulator, a single cycle version, a 3-stage pipeline, 4-and 5-stage versions. This project aims to provide easily readable code that can be used as example code in teaching.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Tommy Thorn for the ongoing, inspiring, and enjoyable discussions of RISC pipeline organizations.

³available in open-source at: https://github.com/schoeberl/chisel-book

⁴https://github.com/ucb-bar/riscv-sodor

⁵https://github.com/tommythorn/yarvi

⁶https://github.com/lowRISC/ibex

⁷https://github.com/YosysHQ/picorv32

REFERENCES

- [1] Krste Asanovic, Rimas Avizienis, Jonathan Bachrach, Scott Beamer, David Biancolin, Christopher Celio, Henry Cook, Daniel Dabbelt, John Hauser, Adam Izraelevitz, Sagar Karandikar, Ben Keller, Donggyu Kim, John Koenig, Yunsup Lee, Eric Love, Martin Maas, Albert Magyar, Howard Mao, Miquel Moreto, Albert Ou, David A. Patterson, Brian Richards, Colin Schmidt, Stephen Twigg, Huy Vo, and Andrew Waterman. The rocket chip generator. Technical Report UCB/EECS-2016-17, EECS Department, University of California, Berkeley, Apr 2016.
- [2] Jonathan Bachrach, Huy Vo, Brian Richards, Yunsup Lee, Andrew Waterman, Rimas Avizienis, John Wawrzynek, and Krste Asanovic. Chisel: constructing hardware in a scala embedded language. In *The 49th Annual Design Automation Conference (DAC 2012)*, pages 1216–1225, San Francisco, CA, USA, June 2012. ACM.
- [3] Pasquale Davide Schiavone, Francesco Conti, Davide Rossi, Michael Gautschi, Antonio Pullini, Eric Flamand, and Luca Benini. Slow and steady wins the race? a comparison of ultra-low-power risc-v cores for internet-of-things applications. In 2017 27th International Symposium on Power and Timing Modeling, Optimization and Simulation (PATMOS), pages 1–8.
- [4] Michael Gautschi, Pasquale Davide Schiavone, Andreas Traber, Igor Loi, Antonio Pullini, Davide Rossi, Eric Flamand, Frank K. Gürkaynak, and Luca Benini. Near-threshold risc-v core with dsp extensions for scalable iot endpoint devices. *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration* (VLSI) Systems, 25(10):2700–2713, 2017.
- [5] Matthew R. Guthaus, James E. Stine, Samira Ataei, Brian Chen, Bin Wu, and Mehedi Sarwar. Openram: An open-source memory compiler. In 2016 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer-Aided Design (ICCAD), pages 1–6.
- [6] S. Harris and D. Harris. Digital Design and Computer Architecture, RISC-V Edition. Elsevier Science, 2021.
- [7] John Hennessy and David Patterson. Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach, 4th ed. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, 2006.
- [8] David A. Patterson and John L. Hennessy. Computer Organization and Design, RISC-V Edition: The Hardware/Software Interface. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 2nd edition, 2020.
- [9] Morten Borup Petersen. Ripes: A visual computer architecture simulator. In 2021 ACM/IEEE Workshop on Computer Architecture Education (WCAE), 2021.
- [10] Martin Schoeberl. Digital Design with Chisel. Kindle Direct Publishing, 2019. available at https://github.com/schoeberl/chisel-book.
- [11] Martin Schoeberl and Morten Borup Petersen. Leros: The return of the accumulator machine. In Martin Schoeberl, Thilo Pionteck, Sascha Uhrig, Jürgen Brehm, and Christian Hochberger, editors, Architecture of Computing Systems - ARCS 2019 - 32nd International Conference, Proceedings, pages 115–127. Springer, May 2019.
- [12] Matt Venn. Tiny tapeout: A shared silicon tape out platform accessible to everyone. *IEEE Solid-State Circuits Magazine*, 16(2):20–29, 2024.
- [13] Andrew Waterman. Design of the RISC-V Instruction Set Architecture. PhD thesis, EECS Department, University of California, Berkeley, Jan 2016.